4.



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.iispto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2002

APPLICATION NO.	· FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/107,524	06/30/1998	PAUL CHAMBERS	PHA-23.406	8175	
7	590 06/04/2002				
North Americ	_	EXAMINER			
U S PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD			NGUYEN, FRANCIS N		
TARRYTOWN	N, NY 10591		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2674	"	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•									
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		09/107,524		CHAMBERS, PAUL					
		Examiner		Art Unit					
_		FRANCIS NGUY		2674					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	orrespondence add	Iress				
THE N - Exten after 5 - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period veron to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minin vill apply and will expire SI , cause the application to I	er, may a reply be time num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely he mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/11	<u>1/2002</u> .							
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-fin	al.						
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under ton of Claims				e merits is				
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 23-26 is/are pending in the application	on.							
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considera	tion.						
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23-25</u> is/are rejected.								
7) 🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26</u> is/are objected to.								
8) 🗌	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirem	nent.						
Application	on Papers								
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) 🗌 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	oted or b) objecte	d to by the Exan	niner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		-	• •					
11)[] 7	The proposed drawing correction filed on	- /	,	ed by the Examine	r.				
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	· -	on.						
·	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.									
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
	 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17	7.2(a)).		Stage				
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	•			application).				
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	visional applicatio	n has been rece	eived.	., ,				
Attachment			55 - 25	. — . ,					
1) Notice 2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 ((PTO-413) Paper No(s atent Application (PTC					
C Dotont and Te	11-0#								

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Appeal Brief

1. The appeal brief filed on 3/11/2002 is entered. The examined reexamined the application carefully in view of the arguments presented in the Appeal Brief, and chose to reopen prosecution, withdrawing prior rejection described in paper # 13, mailed 12/13/2001.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawada (U.S. Patent 6,078,317) and further in view of Fujimoto (U.S. Patent 5,912,710).

As to claims 23-25, Sawada teaches every claimed limitation except <u>image being stored on a DVD</u> with resolution 720X480. Fujimoto teaches image being stored on DVD with resolution 720x480 (column 8, lines 14-18). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to utilize the method/system taught by Sawada then provide a modification by storing the image in a DVD with resolution 720x480 to obtained the method/system Sawada modified by Fujimoto because it would result in greater usage in a variety of video

Application/Control Number: 09/107,524

Art Unit: 2674

applications. Although Sawada modified by Fujimoto does not expressly teach a computer monitor

display; TV display and computer display are in analogous art of visual communications.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 26 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any

intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Francis Nguyen (8:00AM to 4:30PM) whose telephone number is

(703) 308-8858.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached at (703) 305-4709.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal

Drive, Arlington,

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/107,524

Art Unit: 2674

7 / ハル

VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Francis Nguyen

May 30th, 2002

Page 4